ORIGINAL

DISABLED AMERICAN VETERANS DEPARTMENT OF FLORIDA, INC.

DISABLED AMERICAN VETERANS, DEPARTMENT OF FLORIDA, INC. Plaintiff,

VS.

PAUL BRANESKY, Respondent.

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING

(January 25, 2006)

American Legion Post 111 6918 N. Florida Ave. Tampa, Florida

REPORTED BY:

Amy McNeely Court Reporter Notary Public State of Florida at Large

Accus Script

224 South Boulevard • Tampa, FL 33606 (813) 258-5572 • FAX (813) 258-8518

APPEARANCES:

Commander David Stone
Comrade Adjutant Al Linden
Vice Commander John Haynes
Judge Advocate Harms

Judge Advocate Harry McDonald State Inspector Carlos Rainwater

John Keller
Angel Riveria
Bill Norris
Frank Tracy
Jim Whitney
John McCoy
John Houyou

ALSO PRESENT:

ROBERTO RUELO, Esquire 16409 Ashwood Drive Tampa, Florida 33624

Attorney for Respondent

COMMANDER STONE: This is an Executive Committee Special Session, January 25, 2006, at 10:30 a.m.

I hereby declare this meeting to be an Executive Session for the duration. The purpose of this Special Meeting of the Department Executive Committee is as stated in the meeting notice dated September 6, 2005, and no other business will be transacted. Specifically, we are here to resolve any and all pending charges of bylaw violations in the case of Paul Branesky. The hearing will be conducted in accordance with Article 16 of the DAV National Bylaws and within the policy regulations established by the DAV National Executive Committee, Regulation Number 6 for department disciplinary hearings.

Comrade Adjutant, will you read into the record the National Executive Committee Regulation Number 6?

MR. LINDEN: All disciplinary hearings conducted before the State Executive Committee pursuant to Article XVI of the National Bylaws must be conducted before a

quorum of the State Executive Committee, 1 2 and a majority of the voting members of the 3 State Executive Committee shall constitute a quorum. The member charged with a violation shall be allowed representation at the hearing by a person of his or her 7 choice and at his or her expense. department may also be represented by 8 counsel. Both the member and the 9 10 department shall have the right to examine 11 and cross-examine witnesses and to 12 introduce real and documentary evidence. 13 Technical rules of evidence shall not apply at this hearing and any relevant evidence, 14 15 including hearsay evidence, may be 16 admitted. The State Commander shall 17 preside at the hearing and rule on the admission and exclusion of evidence, and 18 exercise all powers relating to the conduct 19 of this hearing. The State Commander may 20 consult with the Department Judge Advocate 21 22 regarding the conduct of the hearing. 23 disciplinary hearings conducted before the State Executive Committee, pursuant to 24 Article XVI of the National Bylaws, will be 25

recorded by a certified court reporter. copy of the transcript will be furnished to the member charged with a violation and to the National Commander. At the conclusion of all testimony, a vote shall be taken to determine the guilt or innocence of the member with respect to each charge. majority vote will be required to find a member guilty of a charge. In the event the member is found to be guilty of any charge, the State Executive Committee by majority vote must then adopt, amend or reject the Commander's recommendation as to the penalty to be imposed. All voting shall be recorded by the court reporter in the transcript of the hearing. decisions of the State Executive Committee are subject to the approval of the National Commander, which approval shall be granted or denied within 30 days of the time in which the National Commander receives a copy of the transcript of the hearing. Written notification of the National Commander's decision shall be provided to the member charged and to the State

1

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Commander.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMANDER STONE: Thank you, Commander Adjutant. This hearing will be conducted in the following manner: The charges and specifications will be read. The accused will be asked to enter his plea. Department Inspector will render the results of his investigation and provide all evidence. The accused will then be allowed to make an explanation, introduce witnesses, and offer testimony with respect to guilt or innocence. Either party will be allowed to cross-examine the other's witnesses and introduce rebutting testimony. After evidence and testimony is presented to you, the Department Executive Committee will be asked to vote on each of the charges and specifications. If found guilty, the accused will then be permitted to present statements in extenuation and mitigation. The Department Executive Committee will then adopt, amend, or reject any recommended punishment. All parties to this hearing are to conduct themselves in a mature and professional manner, and any

	conduct contrary to the maintenance of the
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	MR. LINDEN: Yes.
7	COMMANDER STONE: Was the accused
8	notified in accordance with the
9	requirements of the National Executive
10	Regulation 77?
11	MR. LINDEN: The accused was notified
12	by letter dated May 19, 2005, and sent by
13	registered mail, return receipt requested.
14	A copy of the letter has been provided to
15	each member present at this hearing.
16	COMMANDER STONE: Comrade Adjutant, is
17	a certified court stenographer present to
18	record the hearing?
19	MR. LINDEN: Yes.
20	COMMANDER STONE: At this time I'd like
21	to announce the appointments of the
22	following individuals to collect and count
23	all ballots; Harry McDonald and John
24	Haynes.
25	Is the Department ready present its case?

1	MR. RAINWATER: We are.
2	MR. McDonald: Excuse me, Commander, is
3	John Haynes appointed or John Kell#?
4	COMMANDER STONE: John Kell# and
5	yourself. Should be John Kell
6	MR. RAINWATER: The Department is
7	prepared to present.
8	COMMANDER STONE: Is the accused ready
9	and does he understand the procedures for
10	this hearing?
11	MR. RUELO: Mr. State Chairman,
12	Commander, my name is Roberto Ruelo. I am
13	the attorney for Paul Branesky. We are
14	ready for the hearing.
15	COMMANDER STONE: Comrade Adjutant,
16	will you read the charges and
17	specifications?
18	MR. LINDEN: The charges and
19	specifications are contained in the letter
20	of notification dated May 19, 2005, to Paul
21	Branesky. Charge 1, Paul Branesky issued
22	numerous checks and withdrawals on chapter
23	accounts with only his signature affixed.
24	Article VI, Section 6, of the chapter
25	bylaws require that all checks and

1 withdrawals shall be countersigned by the 2 Commander, or in his extended absence, the 3 Senior Vice-commander. 4 Charge 2, Paul Branesky, Treasurer, failed to safeguard the funds of Chapter 9. 5 6 Charge 3, Paul Branesky gave false 7 information to the Pinellas County Sheriff's Office regarding theft of chapter 8 9 funds. 10 Charge 4, Paul Branesky using only his signature, wrote Check Number 2196 for \$500 11 12 cash with a notation, "Donation for 13 Hurricane Charlie." 14 15 16 Section 11, of the chapter bylaws and

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Charge 5, Paul Branesky, at a chapter meeting on 11/15/04, violated Article VII, Section 11, of the chapter bylaws and Article XVI, Section 16.1, Paragraph 1-C, DAV National Bylaws, by exhibiting conduct not conducive to the best interests of the organization and contrary to the maintenance of proper order and decorum.

MR. MCDONALD: Mr. Linden, Harry
McDonald, question. On Charge 5, you said
violated Article VII and the script says
Article VI. Which is it?

1 MR. LINDEN: It is Article VI as 2 printed. COMMANDER STONE: Paul Branesky, to the charges and specifications, how do you 5 plead? 6 MR. BRANESKY: Not guilty. 7 COMMANDER STONE: Inspector, please 8 proceed with the presentation of the 9 charges and specifications. 10 MR. RUELO: State Commander, before we 11 proceed, I'd like to make a preliminary 12 motion, and before I can do that, I would like to pass around a written response or 13 evidence. If you could allow me, I would 14 15 like to submit it. 16 COMMANDER STONE: Okay. 17 MR. RUELO: I am submitting the 18 original signed copies to the State Commander and duplicate copies to Mr. 19 20 Rainwater, Mr. Linden, to the Adjutant, and to each one of the members. 21 22 Can I explain what is in the folder? 23 On the first page it's a motion to dismiss all evidence in chief and then it is 24

25

followed by the affidavits of Mr. Branesky

and his witnesses. The witnesses are John Golden, Phillip Meskin, Della Switzer, and Karen Switzer, and then it's followed by the exhibits, Exhibit A through Exhibit U, and then the NEXUS would be the court cases that we would cite to support our contention, NEXUS A, A-1, through D.

In the motion to dismiss our contention on page 1 of the motion is that Mr.

Branesky's suspension is void from issue or void from the beginning because the procedure for suspension as prescribed in the DAV National Bylaws was not followed.

As you will see, in the National
Bylaws, Section 16.3, Paragraph 2, that the
National Commander can suspend a member
effective immediately. Also, the State
Commander can also suspend a member
effectively immediately, but the suspension
of the State Commander should be copied for
the National Commander. In this case,
honorable members of this Executive
Committee, the suspension of Mr. Branesky
was only done by the Chapter Commander, Mr.
King, but nowhere in the bylaws of the

chapter or in the bylaws of the state committee is there any provision authorizing the chapter commander to suspend a member.

So on that basis, I request that the suspension of Mr. Branesky be voided from the beginning because there's no authority on the part of the state commander to suspend a member. Only the national commander and the state commander can suspend a member under the National Bylaws.

In the case of Thompson vs. Grand
International Brothers of Locomotive
Engineers, which is Annex A, it was decided
by the court, in note number one, that the
act of a supreme officer of the fraternal
organization in confirming the wrongful
expulsion of a member from the association
by a subordinate division with knowledge or
notice of the wrongfulness of the expulsion
renders the association liable for the
wrong done by the subordinate division. In
that case, the chapter of the brotherhood
organization expelled a member on the
ground that that member wrote a letter to a

expelled member of that fraternal organization encouraging that the member to sue the employer. There was a provision in the bylaws of the association that a member should not sign anything that would be wrongful or detrimental to the interest of the association. The court in that case said that the association has the right under the bylaws to suspend or expel a member but that the reason for the expulsion was wrongful in that case because the officer or member that wrote the letter was following the laws of the organization as well as the laws of the land. But in that case, despite the fact that the head of that mother organization knew of the wrongful expulsion of the member, still that mother organization went along with expulsion decided by the chapter. So the mother organization was also included in the case for damages.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

So in this case, honorable members of the committee, you have a chance to correct the wrongful suspension of Mr. Branesky by the chapter commander, and so that you will correct this wrongful suspension or injustice, you are given the chance at this point to correct or rectify the wrong done by the chapter commander.

Also the Chapter 9 was already abolished and merged with Chapter 13, so even if Mr. Branesky is reinstated as the treasurer of Chapter 9, there's no more position or office to go back to, so there's no need for us to prolong the evidence of the state by continuing with this hearing because there's no more office to which Mr. Branesky can be returned to.

Please remember that Mr. Branesky was suspended not as a member of Chapter 9, but as treasurer of Chapter 9. So since Chapter 9 is no longer existing, what is the point of continuing with this hearing when Mr. Branesky can no longer return to the office, if and when his suspension is lifted by this honorable body? So in order to stop the expense, the time and effort, and money on the part of Mr. Branesky to redeem his good name and make his situation whole, I request this honorable committee

to lift or cancel or withdrawal the suspension from the very beginning, because, for the first part, for the first reason, there was no lawful suspension order from the state commander or the national commander, and second, if and when Mr. Branesky is exonerated of these charges, he has no more position to return to. He remains a member, but he's no longer a treasurer anyway because there's no more office to return to because of the abolition of Chapter 9. So on this basis, I respectfully request that motion to dismiss be granted at this time to save us all the trouble and expense of continuing with this full-blown hearing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMANDER STONE: I'd like to defer to our inspector on this.

MR. RAINWATER: Thank you, Commander.

First of all, our learned counsel has made a very articulate presentation. You are to be congratulated on your study of the DAV Bylaws; however, the motion to dismiss, based on the fact that the chapter commander had no authority to suspend the

accused may or may not be the case.

However, the whole point becomes moot.

I refer you to Exhibit 8 of the
Department's case. Exhibit 8 consists of
the minutes of the meeting of Chapter 9
dated November 15, 2004. I refer you to
page 3, a direct quote and writing from Mr.
Branesky, "I resign effective immediately."
So the point of moving to dismiss based on
a suspension which is improper or not in
accordance with National Bylaws becomes
moot because, in effect, Mr. Branesky said,
"I resign."

MR. RUELO: May I respond to that Mr. State Commander?

COMMANDER STONE: Yes, sir.

MR. RUELO: The minutes of November 15, 2004, which is Exhibit 8 of the state's case, has not yet been proven to be the actual minutes of that meeting. In fact, it was not signed by anybody. Anybody can produce this document. Besides, it will be shown during the testimony by Mr. Branesky that he did not utter these words. Also, assuming that he did utter these words,

still it will not render the case moot, as advanced by Mr. Rainwater, because this utterance will not do away with the naked fact that his suspension was not authorized by the DAV National Bylaws or the state bylaws or the chapter bylaws.

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think under the case that I cited, the court case, the court said that an organization like yours should follow strictly what is in your constitution and bylaws. Otherwise, if you deviate from that and violate the rights of your members, you can be sued for damages, so I think the basic fact remains that the suspension of Mr. Branesky since February 14, 2004, was effected because of the order of suspension by the chapter commander, which under the bylaws of the chapter, state or national bylaws are not allowed. Only the national commander and the state commander have the power under the bylaws to suspend a member. So I believe that in order for the DAV to have its credibility, and in order not to be brought to courts or any other forum, that they should abide by

the bylaws, whether it is for their own benefit or not, because we are here to follow the bylaws and the constitution of DAV, not to deviate from it in order to satisfy the whims and purposes of a certain officer.

I believe we are operating under the theory that no one is above the law and therefore we should not make exceptions. We should follow strictly what the bylaws say, and since the bylaws do not empower the chapter commander to suspend anybody, then we should abide by it. If this suspension was done by the State Commander, Mr. Stone, or by the National Commander, then we would gladly follow it because we are here to be good members of the Veterans and also the Disabled American Veterans.

Thank you.

COMMANDER STONE: I'd like to call on our Judge Advocate to clarify the --

MR. MCDONALD: Commander, I suggest at this time that both counsel and the defendant be asked to leave the room so the Executive Committee can discuss the motion and see what decision we want to make on it.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMANDER STONE: Did you hear that? MR. MCDONALD: Harry McDonald, Judge Advocate. I want to call your attention to the minutes of the meeting which is dated 11/15/04, showing that Mr. Branesky resigned in his position as chapter treasurer, and it wasn't until February of 2005 that the Commander wrote a letter saying that he was suspended. I would also like to point out that this letter suspended him as treasurer, not from membership. Only the National Commander or the State Commander can suspend from national membership, but suspension as a treasurer for malfeasance I think is a proper action that would be taken to protect the funds of the chapter, not withstanding that there's nothing in the bylaws to cover it. At this time, Commander, I recommend that we have a secret ballot on whether or not we approve of dismissing the charges against Mr. Branesky as requested by his attorney.

1	(AT THIS TIME MR. RAINWATER, MR. RUELO
2	AND MR. BRANESKY LEFT THE ROOM.)
3	COMMANDER STONE: Everybody understand
4	that now? We are going to vote on whether
5	we approve of the motion to be dismissed or
6	disapprove.
7	MR. MCDONALD: "Yes," would say that it
8	would be dismissed, and "no" would be that
9	we would continue to press the charges.
10	(AT THIS TIME A SECRET BALLOT VOTE WAS
11	UNDERTAKEN.)
12	MR. MCDONALD: Commander, it's
13	unanimous that we will not dismiss the
14	charges.
15	COMMANDER STONE: Okay, unanimous we
16	will not dismiss charges. John, please
17	call them back into the room.
18	(AT THIS TIME MR. RAINWATER, MR. RUELO
19	AND MR. BRANESKY RE-ENTERED THE HEARING
20	ROOM.)
21	COMMANDER STONE: Gentlemen, we've
22	taken a secret ballot on whether to dismiss
23	or to go on with the case, and by unanimous
24	decision we have agreed to go on with the
25	case after hearing our Judge Advocate